Performance Analysis of Coded V-BLAST with Optimum Power and Rate Allocation

Victoria Kostina, Sergey Loyka

Princeton University, USA; University of Ottawa, Canada

August 4, 2011

2011 International Symposium on Information Theory, St.Petersburg, Russia

Motivation

- Why V-BLAST?
 - MIMO: high spectral efficiency
 - V-BLAST is a practical (not too complex) approach
 - MMSE BLAST achieves full MIMO capacity
 - SIC implements the chain rule of MI
- V-BLAST challenges
 - Error propagation effect degrades performance
 - Ordering: high computational complexity
- V-BLAST improvements
 - Optimum power/rate allocation
- Coded V-BLAST
 - Most of prior work: uncoded BLAST
 - Uncoded systems are rare; powerful (capacity-approaching) codes exist
- Performance analysis: challenging but insights

V-BLAST: launch multiple bit streams



Interference cancellation: cancel out the interference from already detected symbols. Interference nulling (ZF): project out the interference from yet to be detected symbols. Optimal ordering procedure: symbol with highest after-processing SNR is detected first - excluded

System Model

- Generic multi-stream transmission or ZF V-BLAST (no ordering)
- Instantaneous power and/or rate allocation
- Capacity-achieving temporal codes
- Channel model

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{s} + \boldsymbol{\xi} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{h}_i \sqrt{\alpha_i} s_i + \boldsymbol{\xi}$$

- System capacity: capacity of the extended channel (with the V-BLAST transmission/processing architecture)
- Outage probability: probability that the system cannot support a target rate *mR*,

$$P_{out} = \mathbb{P}[C < mR]$$

Instantaneous vs. Average Optimization

- Total power constraint: $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i = m$
- Target rate: mR

Power/rate allocation strategies:

$$\begin{split} \overline{\alpha}_{C} &= \arg \max_{\alpha(\gamma_{0})} \mathbb{E}\left[C(\alpha)\right] \\ \overline{\alpha}_{out} &= \arg \min_{\alpha(\gamma_{0})} \mathbb{P}\left[C(\alpha) < mR\right] \\ \alpha_{C} &= \arg \max_{\alpha(\gamma_{0},\mathbf{H})} C(\alpha) \\ \alpha_{out} &= \arg \min_{\alpha(\gamma_{0},\mathbf{H})} \mathbb{P}\left[C(\alpha) < mR\right] \end{split}$$

Theorem (Instantaneous vs. Average Optimization)

$$\mathbb{P}\left[C(\overline{\alpha}_{C}) < mR\right] \geq \mathbb{P}\left[C(\overline{\alpha}_{out}) < mR\right]$$
$$\geq \mathbb{P}\left[C(\alpha_{out}) < mR\right] = P_{out}^{\star}$$
$$= \mathbb{P}\left[C(\alpha_{C}) < mR\right]$$

Unoptimized system

- All $\alpha_i = 1$ (uniform power allocation)
- All per-stream target rates = R (uniform rate allocation)

$$C_u = m \min_i C_i, \quad C_i = \ln(1 + \alpha_i g_i \gamma_0)$$
$$P_{out}^u = \mathbb{P}[C_u < mR] = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \mathbb{P}[C_i < R])$$

• $g_i = |\mathbf{h}_{i\perp}|^2$ is the *i*-th stream power gain ¹.

¹see e.g. S. Loyka, F. Gagnon, V-BLAST without Optimal Ordering: Analytical Performance Evaluation for Rayleigh Fading Channels, IEEE Trans. Comm., June 2006.

Instantaneous Rate Allocation (IRA)

- All $\alpha_i = 1$
- Per-stream rates are adjusted to match the per-stream capacities C_i

$$C_{IRA} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} C_i, \quad C_i = \ln(1 + g_i \gamma_0)$$
 $P_{out}^{IRA} = \mathbb{P}\left[\sum_i C_i < mR\right]$

Instantaneous Power Allocation (IPA)²

$$C_{IPA} = m \max_{\alpha} \min_{i} \ln(1 + \alpha_i g_i \gamma_0), \text{ s.t.} \sum_{i} \alpha_i = m, \ \alpha_i \ge 0$$

Theorem (IPA)

$$\mathcal{C}_{IPA} = egin{cases} m\ln(1+\overline{g}\gamma_0), & all \ g_i > 0 \ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$$

where \overline{g} is the harmonic mean per-stream gain,

$$\overline{g} = \left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i}g_{i}^{-1}\right)^{-1}$$

 C_{IPA} is achieved by the channel inversion: $\alpha_i = \overline{g}/g_i$

²V. Kostina, S. Loyka, Optimum Power and Rate Allocation for Coded V-BLAST: Instantaneous Optimization, IEEE Trans. Comm., accepted, 2011.

Instantaneous Power and Rate Allocation (IPRA)

$$C_{IPRA} = \max_{\alpha} \sum_{i} \ln(1 + \alpha_i g_i \gamma_0), \text{ s.t.} \sum_{i} \alpha_i = m, \ \alpha_i \ge 0$$

- Power allocation subject to $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i = m$
- Per-stream rates match per-stream capacities $ln(1 + \alpha_i g_i \gamma_0)$
- Conventional waterfilling (WF): not optimal in V-BLAST!

Fractional waterfilling

- Fractional waterfilling (FWF) = conventional WF on all subsets of streams:
 - Begin
 - Select a set of active Tx antennas (streams)
 - O WF
 - Go to 2 until all combinations are done
 - Select the best active set
 - 🗿 End

Performance analysis: Capacities

Proposition (Capacity bounds)

$$\begin{split} &\ln\left(1+m\gamma_0g_{max}\right) \leq &C_{FWF} \leq m\ln\left(1+\gamma_0g_{max}\right) \\ &\ln\left(1+m\gamma_0g_{max\perp}\right) \leq &C_{WF} \leq m\ln\left(1+\gamma_0g_{max\perp}\right) \\ &\ln\left(1+\gamma_0g_{max\perp}\right) \leq &C_{IRA} \leq m\ln\left(1+\gamma_0g_{max\perp}\right) \\ &C_u = m\ln\left(1+\gamma_0g_{min\perp}\right) \end{split}$$

Proposition (Instantaneous capacities)

$$C_{u} \leq C_{IRA} \leq C_{WF} \leq C_{FWF}$$

 $C_{u}(\gamma_{0}) \leq C_{IPA}(\gamma_{0}) \leq C_{u}(m\gamma_{0})$
 $C_{IRA}(\gamma_{0}) \leq C_{WF}(\gamma_{0}) \leq C_{IRA}(m\gamma_{0})$

Performance analysis: Outage probabilities

Proposition (Outage probabilities, any fading)

$$\begin{aligned} P_{out}^{FWF} \leq & P_{out}^{WF} \leq P_{out}^{IRA} \leq P_{out}^{u} \\ P_{out}^{u}(m\gamma_{0}) \leq & P_{out}^{IPA}(\gamma_{0}) \leq P_{out}^{u}(\gamma_{0}) \\ P_{out}^{IRA}(m\gamma_{0}) \leq & P_{out}^{WF}(\gamma_{0}) \leq P_{out}^{IRA}(\gamma_{0}) \end{aligned}$$

Performance analysis: Diversity gains

Diversity gain *d*:

$$P_{out} pprox rac{c}{\gamma_0^d} ext{ or } d = -\lim_{\gamma_0 o \infty} rac{\ln P_{out}}{\ln \gamma_0}.$$

Proposition (Diversity gains)

In the low outage regime,

$$egin{aligned} d_u &= n-m+1 = d_{IPA} \ &\leq d_{IRA} = \sum_{i=1}^m (n-m+i) = d_{WF} \ &\leq d_{FWF} = nm \end{aligned}$$

The equality is achieved for m = 1 only, i.e. only the FWF achieves the full MIMO channel diversity nm for m > 1.

Example (high rate)



Figure: 2 × 2 V-BLAST in i.i.d. Rayleigh fading channel at $R = 3 \text{ [nat/s/Hz]}_{4/19}$

Theorem: Outage probabilities, wideband ³

$$P_{out}^{u} = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 - F_{n-m+i}(x)) \approx \frac{x^{n-m+1}}{(n-m+1)!},$$

$$P_{out}^{IRA} \approx F_{d_{IRA}}(mx) \approx \frac{1}{d_{IRA}!} (mx)^{d_{IRA}},$$

$$P_{out}^{WF} \approx \prod_{i=1}^{m} F_{n-m+i}(x) \approx \frac{x^{d_{IRA}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} (n-m+i)!}$$

$$P_{out}^{FWF} \approx F_{n}^{m}(x) \approx \frac{x^{nm}}{(n!)^{m}}$$

where the second approximation in each case holds at the low outage regime, $x = R/\gamma_0 \ll 1$. $F_k(x) = 1 - e^{-x} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} x^l/l!$ is the outage probability of *k*-th order MRC.

 $^{^{3}\}text{to}$ the best of our knowledge, it is the first time when the WF/FWF outage probability is found in a closed form.

Example (wideband)



Figure: 2×2 V-BLAST in i.i.d. Rayleigh fading channel at R = 0.1 [nat/s/Hz]

Instantaneous capacities, low SNR

In the low SNR regime, $m\gamma_0 \max_i |\mathbf{h}_i|^2 \ll 1$,

$$C_{u} \approx m\gamma_{0} \min_{i} |\mathbf{h}_{i\perp}|^{2}$$
$$C_{IRA} \approx \gamma_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{m} |\mathbf{h}_{i\perp}|^{2}$$
$$C_{WF} \approx m\gamma_{0} \max_{i} |\mathbf{h}_{i\perp}|^{2}$$
$$C_{FWF} \approx m\gamma_{0} \max_{i} |\mathbf{h}_{i}|^{2}$$

Corollary

FWF significantly outperforms the WF, $C_{WF} \ll C_{FWF}$, when $\max_i |\mathbf{h}_{i\perp}| \ll \max_i |\mathbf{h}_i|$ and their performance is close otherwise.

Example (fixed channel)



Figure: 2×2 V-BLAST with the FWF and the conventional WF for two channel realizations:

(a) "good":
$$\mathbf{h}_1 = [1 \ 1]^T$$
, $\mathbf{h}_2 = [0 \ 1]^T$
(b) "bad": $\mathbf{h}_1 = [1 \ 10]^T$, $\mathbf{h}_2 = [0 \ 1]^T$

Conclusion

Conclusion

- Optimum power/rate allocation for coded V-BLAST
- IPA: within a bounded SNR gain ($\leq m$) of U
- IRA: extra diversity gain
- WF: within a bounded SNR gain ($\leq m$) of IRA
- Conventional WF is not optimal in V-BLAST!
- Fractional waterfilling algorithm (FWF)
 - maximizes capacity/minimizes the outage probability
 - significantly outperforms the other strategies (achieves the full channel diversity)
- Closed-form solutions + performance analysis
- Also good for generic multi-stream transmission (e.g. OFDM, MAC, SIC equalizers)